

Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences – Vol. 69

# **APPLIED AND INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS IN ITALY**

Edited by

**Mario Primicerio**

**Renato Spigler**

**Vanda Valente**

**World Scientific**

**APPLIED AND  
INDUSTRIAL  
MATHEMATICS  
IN ITALY**

This page intentionally left blank

Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences – Vol. 69

# **APPLIED AND INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS IN ITALY**

**Proceedings of the 7th Conference**

**Venice, Italy**

**20 – 24 September 2004**

**Edited by**

**Mario Primicerio**

Università di Firenze, Italy

**Renato Spigler**

Università di Roma 3, Italy

**Vanda Valente**

IAC-CNR, Roma, Italy

 **World Scientific**

NEW JERSEY • LONDON • SINGAPORE • BEIJING • SHANGHAI • HONG KONG • TAIPEI • CHENNAI

*Published by*

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

5 Toh Tuck Link, Singapore 596224

USA office: 27 Warren Street, Suite 401-402, Hackensack, NJ 07601

UK office: 57 Shelton Street, Covent Garden, London WC2H 9HE

**British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

**APPLIED AND INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS IN ITALY**

**Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences — Vol. 69**

Copyright © 2005 by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

*All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the Publisher.*

For photocopying of material in this volume, please pay a copying fee through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. In this case permission to photocopy is not required from the publisher.

ISBN 981-256-368-7

## PREFACE

In this volume we present 52 papers, which provide an overview of the research activity currently being pursued in Italy in the field of Applied and Industrial Mathematics, intended in the broadest sense. In fact a variety of areas is covered, as well as a large number of applications to engineering, finance, material science, environment, biology, etc. From the point of view of the mathematical content, the papers range from rigorous and formal analytical results to computational techniques, from modeling to engineering-oriented simulations.

All such contributions were solicited, refereed, and finally selected, to give an account of the state-of-the-art of the research work currently conducted in these fields in Italy. This has been done in the framework of the activities of the Italian Society for Applied and Industrial Mathematics (SIMAI), intended to promote and stimulate research in applied mathematics and its interaction with the area of industrial production.

These articles have been selected from a larger number of submitted papers by the Scientific Committee of SIMAI, consisting of Ubaldo Barberis, Enrico De Bernardis, Franco Brezzi, Giorgio Fotia, Luigia Puccio, and of the Editors of this book. We wish to thank all of them as well as the referees who have been involved in the selection procedure. Special thanks are also due to Paolo Rughetti who assisted us in preparing the lay-out of the volume.

The Editors  
Roma, February 15th, 2005



## CONTENTS

Restriction Matrices and Symmetric Panel Clustering Method for Multi-Domain SGBEM <i>A. Aimi, M. Diligenti and A. Salvadori</i>	1
New Perspectives on Mathematical Modeling of Semiconductors <i>G. Ali, A. M. Anile and G. Mascali</i>	13
A Performance Comparison of Different Lattice Boltzmann Algorithms <i>G. Amati and F. Massaioli</i>	25
Asymptotic Analysis by Quasi-Self-Similar Solutions of the Weakly Shear-Thinning Equation <i>L. Ansini</i>	36
Undesirable Growth, Oscillations and Indeterminacy in an Economy with Private Substitutes for Environmental Goods <i>A. Antoci, M. Galeotti and P. Russu</i>	47
Using Sparse Matrices and Splines-Based Interpolation in Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulations <i>G. Argentini</i>	56
Can You Hear the Fractal Dimension of a Drum? <i>W. Arrighetti and G. Gerosa</i>	65
How to Tackle the Boltzmann Equation for Industrial Semiconductor Device Simulation <i>Ch. Auer, A. Domaingo, C. Ertler, M. Galler, F. Schürer            and A. Majorana</i>	76
Aerodynamic Effects in Proximity to High-Speed Trains <i>R. Balli, M. Basili and A. Garinei</i>	88



A "Spinorial" Wigner Function Describing the Two-Band K-P Dynamics of Electrons in Crystals <i>L. Barletti</i>	100
Parallel Simulation of Combustion in Common Rail Diesel Engines by Advanced Numerical Solution of Detailed Chemistry <i>P. Belardini, C. Bertoli, S. Corsaro and P. D'Ambra</i>	112
A Mathematical Approach to the Study of Signal Transduction Pathways in MAPK Cascade <i>A. M. Bersani, M. G. Pedersen, E. Bersani and F. Barcellona</i>	124
Asymptotic Behaviour of the Time-Dependent Ginzburg-Landau Equations <i>V. Berti</i>	136
Active Infrared Thermography in Nondestructive Evaluation <i>P. Bison, D. Fasino and G. Inglese</i>	143
Asymptotic Stability of the Solutions of the Hydrodynamical Model of Semiconductors Based on the Maximum Entropy Principle: The Case of Bulk Silicon <i>A. M. Blokhin, R. S. Bushmanov and V. Romano</i>	155
An Upwind-Mixed Method for Advection-Diffusion Problems with Static Condensation <i>C. L. Bottasso, P. Causin and R. Sacco</i>	167
Validation of Nonstationary Model of Atrioventricular Node Conduction in Atrial Fibrillation <i>C. Cammarota and E. Rogora</i>	179
Quantum Statistical Systems with a Continuous Range of States <i>D. Carfi</i>	189
Parallel Mesh Adaptation <i>A. Casagrande, P. Leyland, L. Formaggia and M. Sala</i>	201

Nonconforming Finite Elements for Reissner–Mindlin Plates <i>C. Chinosi, C. Lovadina and L. D. Marini</i>	213
Admission Control Algorithms Based on Self-Similar Traffic Modeling for IP Networks <i>F. Chiti, R. Fantacci, F. Baffoni and V. Vespri</i>	225
Multilevel Restoration Method for Line Defects in Digitized Images <i>P. Ciarlini, G. Costanzo and M. L. Lo Cascio</i>	237
A Parallel Algorithm for Scattered Data Fitting on the Sphere <i>M. Costanzo and A. De Rossi</i>	249
An IMAP Estimation for the Joint Separation and Restoration of Mixed Degraded Color Images <i>F. Cricco and I. Gerace</i>	260
Geometric Integration of ODEs on the General Linear Group of Matrices <i>N. Del Buono and L. Lopez</i>	270
Sets of Near-Optimal Points for Interpolation on the Square <i>S. De Marchi</i>	282
Discontinuity Surfaces for a Class of Isotropic Elastic Materials <i>D. De Tommasi, P. Foti and S. Marzano</i>	294
Mathematics and Music: Fatal (Strange) Attraction at First Sight! <i>P. Di Lorenzo</i>	305
Exponential Dichotomies and a Nonlinear $H^\infty$ Control Problem <i>R. Fabbri and R. Johnson</i>	315
A “Close-up” View of Breakage and Scattering Kernels for the Dynamics of Liquid Dispersions: Theory and Numerical Simulations <i>A. Fasano, F. Rosso and A. Mancini</i>	324

Building Models of Surfaces by Rapid Prototyping <i>G. Franzoni and M. Lampis</i>	336
Financial Models with Dependence on the Past: A Survey <i>V. Hallulli and T. Vargiolu</i>	348
A Lagrange Multiplier Method for Elliptic Interface Problems Using Non-Matching Meshes <i>P. Hansbo, C. Lovadina, I. Perugia and G. Sangalli</i>	360
Spin Axioms in Relativistic Continuum Theory <i>H. Herrmann, G. Rückner and W. Muschik</i>	371
Semi-explicit Time-stepping Methods for Dynamical Systems with Complementary Constraints <i>L. Lopez, C. Mastroserio and A. Pugliese</i>	381
Numeric Estimation of the Truncation Error in Direct Simulation Monte Carlo for Bulk Silicon <i>O. Muscato and W. Wagner</i>	393
On the Ambrosetti–Prodi Problem for First Order Scalar Periodic ODEs <i>F. Obersnel and P. Omari</i>	404
A Finite Element Level Set Method for Viscous Free-Surface Flows <i>N. Parolini and E. Burman</i>	416
Solving Conservation Laws by ADER Central Runge–Kutta Schemes <i>R. M. Pidotella and G. Russo</i>	428
An Evolution Model for the Delamination of Thin Films: Theoretical and Numerical Aspects <i>F. Pistella, V. Valente and G. Vergara Caffarelli</i>	440

Blood Flow Through a Curved Artery <i>G. Pontrelli and A. Tatone</i>	451
Entropy Function in Heterogeneous and Anisotropic Nonlinear Ferroelastic Crystals <i>L. Restuccia and M. Francaviglia</i>	463
Thermomechanics of Piezoelectrics Defective by Dislocations <i>L. Restuccia and B. T. Maruszewski</i>	475
Numerical Modelling of the Ground Motion: A Parallel Approach for Finite Element Methods <i>V. Ruggiero, P. Lanucara, M. P. Busico, A. Caserta and B. Firmani</i>	487
An Almost-Robust <i>a Posteriori</i> Estimator for the One-Dimensional Advection-Diffusion-Reaction Problem <i>G. Sangalli</i>	496
Blowdown of Hydrocarbons Pressure Vessel with Partial Phase Separation <i>A. Speranza and A. Terenzi</i>	508
<i>A Posteriori</i> Multiobjective Optimization for Parameter Extraction of Microelectronic Devices <i>S. Spinella and A. M. Anile</i>	520
The Fiber Bundle of Optimal Control Theory <i>P. Teofilatto</i>	530
An Application of Lattice Boltzmann Model to Open Systems <i>F. Tosi</i>	541
Renal Kinetic Parameters Estimation from Fast Activity Curves Sampling with a Three-headed SPECT System <i>E. Vanzi and A. R. Formiconi</i>	552

Positive Periodic Solutions for Ordinary Differential Equations Arising in the Study of Nerve Fiber Models <i>C. Zanini and F. Zanolin</i>	564
Dimension Reduction Problems for Non-Simple Grade Two Materials <i>E. Zappale</i>	576

# RESTRICTION MATRICES AND SYMMETRIC PANEL CLUSTERING METHOD FOR MULTI-DOMAIN SGBEM

A. AIMI AND M. DILIGENTI

*Department of Mathematics, University of Parma  
via M. D'Azeglio 85,  
46100 Parma, Italy  
E-mail: mauro.diligenti@unipr.it*

A. SALVADORI

*Department of Civil Engineering, University of Brescia  
via Branze 38,  
25123 Brescia, Italy*

In the framework of the Symmetric Galerkin Boundary Element Method, in the past years different techniques have been proposed to reduce the computational cost of the Galerkin matrix evaluation. The dimension of the matrix can be significantly high in the case of problems defined on multi-domains. Here we couple the Panel Clustering Method with a set of Restriction Matrices developed to take computational advantage of possible symmetry properties of the integral problem.

## 1. SGBEM and Domain Decomposition Method

This section provides a very brief review of boundary integral equations for linear elliptic boundary value problems, their approximation via the symmetric-Galerkin method. The reader is asked to consult the cited references for further details.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , be a bounded domain with a piecewise smooth boundary  $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}_D \cup \bar{\Gamma}_N$ , where  $\Gamma_D$  and  $\Gamma_N$  are an open disjoint subset of  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$ . We consider the mixed boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(x) &= 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega \\ u(x) &= \hat{u}(x) & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_D & \quad (\text{Dirichlet condition}) \\ t(x) := (T_x u)(x) &= \hat{t}(x) & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_N & \quad (\text{Neumann condition}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $L(\cdot)$  is a linear elliptic partial differential operator of second order acting on  $u$ , describing the field equation inside the domain,  $(T_x u)(x)$  the conormal derivative of  $u$  for  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $\hat{u}$  and  $\hat{t}$  are given functions. Applications

of (1) are, e.g., boundary value problems in potential theory, in acoustic, elastostatics etc.. For problem (1) we may derive for the Cauchy data  $(u, t)$  a system of boundary integral equations (see [3]):

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -K & V \\ D & K' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (2)$$

using the single-layer potential

$$(Vt)(x) := \int_{\Gamma} U(x, y)t(y) ds_y, \quad V : H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma);$$

the double-layer potential

$$(Ku)(x) := \int_{\Gamma} T_y U(x, y)u(y) ds_y, \quad K : H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma);$$

the adjoint double-layer potential

$$(K't)(x) := \int_{\Gamma} T_x U(x, y)t(y) ds_y, \quad K' : H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\Gamma);$$

as well as the hyper-singular integral operator

$$(Du)(x) := - \int_{\Gamma} T_x T_y U(x, y)u(y) ds_y, \quad D : H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\Gamma);$$

where  $H^\alpha(\Gamma)$  denotes the Sobolev space with norm:  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \Gamma}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [8]). The operators  $K$  and  $K'$  are defined by Cauchy singular integrals and  $D$  is defined by a hyper-singular finite part integral in the sense of Hadamard ([10]), due to the respective integral kernel singularity. The definition of all these boundary potentials is based on a fundamental solution  $U(x, y)$  of the operator  $L(\cdot)$ . The mapping properties of all boundary integral operators defined above are well known ([3], [4]). Now, if we rewrite the first boundary integral equation in (2) for  $x \in \Gamma_D$  and the second one for  $x \in \Gamma_N$ , we obtain a system of two BIEs of the first kind for the unknown Cauchy data  $u$  on  $\Gamma_N$  and  $t$  on  $\Gamma_D$ , of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{DD} & -K_{ND} \\ K'_{DN} & D_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -V_{ND} & \frac{1}{2}I + K_{DD} \\ \frac{1}{2}I - K'_{NN} & -D_{DN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{t} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where subscripts  $ab$  mean integration over  $\Gamma_a$  and evaluation over  $\Gamma_b$ ,  $a, b = D, N$ . If we substitute in (3)  $t = t^* + \bar{t}$ ,  $u = u^* + \bar{u}$ , where  $\bar{t} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  is an extension of the given Neumann data  $\hat{t}$  with 0 on  $\Gamma_D$  and  $\bar{u} \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  is an extension of the given Dirichlet data  $\hat{u}$  with 0 on  $\Gamma_N$ , then we obtain a system of boundary integral equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{DD} & -K_{ND} \\ K'_{DN} & D_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^* \\ u^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -V_{ND} & \frac{1}{2}I + K_{DD} \\ \frac{1}{2}I - K'_{NN} & -D_{DN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{t} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

for the unknown functions  $(u^*, t^*) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) := \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma_N) \times \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ . Here, the Sobolev spaces  $\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma_N)$  and  $\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)$  are defined in the usual way (see [8]) and the norm in  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$  is given by:

$$\|(u, t)\|_{\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma_N) \times \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)}^2 := \|u\|_{\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma)}^2 + \|t\|_{\tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}^2$$

The bilinear form:

$$b((u, t); (\phi, \psi)) := (Vt, \psi)_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} - (Ku, \psi)_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} + (K't, \phi)_{L^2(\Gamma_N)} + (Du, \phi)_{L^2(\Gamma_N)}, \quad (5)$$

is bounded and  $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma)$ -elliptic due to the mapping properties of the boundary integral operators (see [4]). Hence, the variational formulation:

$$b((u, t); (\phi, \psi)) = \mathcal{F}(\phi, \psi), \quad \text{for all } (\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) \quad (6)$$

with the linear form:  $\mathcal{F}(\phi, \psi) = (f_1, \psi)_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} + (f_2, \phi)_{L^2(\Gamma_N)}$ , is uniquely solvable due to the Lax-Milgram theorem.

Let us introduce the finite-dimensional subspaces:

$$U_h = \text{span}\{\varphi_k^{p_t}\}_{k=1}^{N_{\Gamma_D}} \subset \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D), \quad W_h = \text{span}\{\psi_k^{p_u}\}_{k=1}^{N_{\Gamma_N}} \subset \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma_N),$$

with piecewise trial functions of degree  $p_t$  and  $p_u$ , respectively. These spaces are related to a mesh  $\mathcal{T} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{N_h}\}$  defined on  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma = \cup_{i=1}^{N_h} \bar{e}_i$ . For the following we have to assume approximation properties of the trial spaces  $U_h$  and  $W_h$ . From the mesh we require only, that the mesh ratio of two neighbouring elements is bounded by a constant. The Galerkin discretization of (6) is to find  $(u_h, t_h) \in W_h \times U_h$  such that:

$$b((u_h, t_h), (\phi_h, \psi_h)) = \mathcal{F}(\phi_h, \psi_h) \quad \text{is satisfied for all } (\phi_h, \psi_h) \in W_h \times U_h. \quad (7)$$

The finite-dimensional variational problem (7) is equivalent to a symmetric system of linear equations:

$$A\xi = b, \quad \dim A = n = n(h, p_t, p_u) \quad (8)$$

Now, we will consider two sub-domains only, in order to simplify the formalism, occupying the domains  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ , bounded by boundaries  $\Gamma^1, \Gamma^2$  with the outward unit normal  $\mathbf{n}^1$  and  $\mathbf{n}^2$ , respectively, and connected by an interface  $\Gamma_c = \Gamma^1 \cap \Gamma^2$ . The extension to more sub-domains, if not immediately obvious, is nevertheless seen to be relatively straightforward after a little thought. On the common boundary or interface  $\Gamma_c$  the matching conditions read:

$$u_c^1(x) = u_c^2(x) \equiv u_c(x), \quad t_c^1(x) = -t_c^2(x) \equiv t_c(x) \quad (9)$$



The known functions are on  $\Gamma_N^r$ ,  $\Gamma_D^r$ , and  $\Gamma_c$ :  $\xi^r \equiv (t^r, u^r)$ ,  $r = 1, 2$ ,  $\xi^c \equiv (t^c, u^c)$ .

Let us write the system of boundary integral equations (4) to keep the interface  $\Gamma_c$  separate as a special part of the boundary of each sub-domain  $r$  and allowing for interface conditions. With the notation (9), these equations can be given in the following compact form:

$$\mathcal{L}_r^r \xi^r + \mathcal{L}_c^c \xi^c = \bar{f}^r, \quad r = 1, 2, \quad (10)$$

where we have set:

$$\mathcal{L}_r^r \equiv \begin{pmatrix} V_{DD}^r & -K_{ND}^r \\ K_{DN}^r & D_{NN}^r \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathcal{L}_c^c \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{r-1} V_{cD}^r & -K_{cD}^r \\ (-1)^r K_{cN}^r & D_{cN}^r \end{pmatrix} \quad r = 1, 2. \quad (11)$$

The right-hand side  $\bar{f}^r$  of (10), consists of the data functions  $f_1^r$  and  $f_2^r$  defined in (4), respectively, written for  $r = 1, 2$ . Consider  $\Gamma_c$  as a part of the boundary of sub-domain 1 and 2 separately. Thus if we express both  $u^c$  and  $t^c$  along  $\Gamma_c$  and we make an appropriate combination of equations using (9), we obtain the integral equations for the common boundary  $\Gamma_c$  in the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_c^1 \xi^1 + \mathcal{L}_c^2 \xi^2 + \mathcal{L}_c^c \xi^c = \bar{f}^c, \quad (12)$$

where:

$$\mathcal{L}_c^r \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{r-1} V_{Dc}^r & (-1)^r K_{Nc}^r \\ -K_{Dc}^r & D_{Nc}^r \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = 1, 2; \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_c^c \equiv \begin{pmatrix} V_{cc}^1 + V_{cc}^2 & -(K_{cc}^1 - K_{cc}^2) \\ K_{cc}^1 - K_{cc}^2 & D_{cc}^1 + D_{cc}^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Consider the set of (10) and (12) which, together, govern the solution  $u$  on  $\Gamma_N^1$ ,  $\Gamma_N^2$ ,  $\Gamma_c$  and  $t$  on  $\Gamma_D^1$ ,  $\Gamma_D^2$  and  $\Gamma_c$ . The global system, taking into account (10)-(14), reads:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_1^1 & O & \mathcal{L}_1^c \\ O & \mathcal{L}_2^2 & \mathcal{L}_2^c \\ \mathcal{L}_c^1 & \mathcal{L}_c^2 & \mathcal{L}_c^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \xi^2 \\ \xi^c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}^1 \\ \bar{f}^2 \\ \bar{f}^c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The Galerkin discretization of the symmetric formulation (15) clearly generates a block linear system similar to system (8).

When the domain  $\Omega$  and therefore its boundary have some geometrical symmetries the computational cost of the SGBEM matrix generation and the linear system solution can be significantly lowered. This can be done by using suitable restriction matrices.

## 2. Restriction Matrices and Symmetric PCM

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite group of  $t$  congruences having at least two distinct elements of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $m = 2, 3$ . The group  $\mathcal{G}$  can be described by orthogonal matrices  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t$  of order  $m$ ; let  $\gamma_1$  be the identity matrix. From the theory of group representations it follows that any finite group  $\mathcal{G}$  admits a finite number  $q$  of unitary irreducible, pairwise not-equivalent matrix representations:

$$\{\omega^{(1)}(\gamma_i)\}, \{\omega^{(2)}(\gamma_i)\}, \dots, \{\omega^{(q)}(\gamma_i)\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, t. \quad (16)$$

Let  $d_\ell$  be the order of the representation  $\{\omega^{(\ell)}(\gamma_i)\}$ , i.e. the order of the matrices  $\omega^{(\ell)}(\gamma_i)$ . The number  $q$  of the representations (16) and the orders  $d_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, q$ , only depend on  $\mathcal{G}$ . Having set:

$$M = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_q, \quad (17)$$

from the theory of group representation it follows that  $q \leq M \leq t$  and  $q = M = t$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is an Abelian group. Matrices depending only on group  $\mathcal{G}$  and on the system of representations (16) called *elementary restriction matrices*, were introduced in [2]. For vector problems, these matrices have the following simple definition:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\ell k} = \sqrt{\frac{d_\ell}{t}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{k1}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_1)\gamma_1^* & \omega_{k1}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_2)\gamma_2^* & \dots & \omega_{k1}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_t)\gamma_t^* \\ \omega_{k2}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_1)\gamma_1^* & \omega_{k2}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_2)\gamma_2^* & \dots & \omega_{k2}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_t)\gamma_t^* \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{kd_\ell}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_1)\gamma_1^* & \omega_{kd_\ell}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_2)\gamma_2^* & \dots & \omega_{kd_\ell}^{(\ell)}(\gamma_t)\gamma_t^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} \ell = 1, \dots, q \\ k = 1, \dots, d_\ell \end{matrix} \quad (18)$$

where  $\gamma_i^*$  denotes the transpose of  $\gamma_i$ . The total number of these matrices is  $M$ , with  $M$  given by (17). Due to the orthogonality properties of the representations, matrix  $\mathcal{E}_{\ell k}$  has pairwise orthogonal rows. Therefore the rank of matrix  $\mathcal{E}_{\ell k}$  is  $md_\ell$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, p$ , be full rank real matrices with, respectively  $n_s$  rows and  $n$  columns such that  $n = \sum_{s=1}^p n_s$  and:

$$\mathcal{R}_s \mathcal{R}_s^* = I_s, \quad \mathcal{R}_s \mathcal{R}_{s'}^* = O, \quad s \neq s', \quad \sum_{s=1}^p \mathcal{R}_s^* \mathcal{R}_s = I \quad (19)$$

where  $I_s, I$  are identity matrices of order  $n_s$  and  $n$ , respectively. In literature, these matrices are called restriction matrices and have been widely used in the context of parallel multi-grid algorithms, and domain decomposition reduction methods for a numerical solution of elliptic boundary value problems ([5]). The following result holds ([2]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A\xi = b$  be a linear system, where  $A$  is a non-singular matrix of order  $n$  and  $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_p$ ,  $p$  restriction matrices verifying condition (19). If matrix  $A$  verifies conditions:*

$$\mathcal{R}_k A \mathcal{R}_j^* = 0, \quad k \neq j, \quad k, j = 1, \dots, p \quad (20)$$

*then the system  $A\xi = b$  can be decomposed in  $p$  independent subsystems*

$$A_\ell \xi_\ell = \mathcal{R}_\ell b, \quad (21)$$

*with  $A_\ell = \mathcal{R}_\ell A \mathcal{R}_\ell^*$  a non-singular matrix of dimension  $n_\ell \times n_\ell$ . The solution  $\xi$  is obtained by  $\xi = \sum_{\ell=1}^p \mathcal{R}_\ell^* \xi_\ell$ , where  $\xi_\ell$  is the solution of (21).*

The problem of decomposition of the system (8) is then reduced to the construction of a suitable system of restriction matrices verifying (20). Starting from *elementary restriction matrices* defined in (18), it is possible to construct  $M$  restriction matrices  $\mathcal{R}_{\ell k}$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, q$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, d_\ell$  related to the space  $U_h \times W_h$  for the splitting of the SGBEM linear system when the problem is  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant (see [2]). We observe that the linear subsystem generation does not imply the construction of the whole BEM matrix  $A$  and has a cost in terms of *flops* of order  $n^2$ . The reduction factor in solving all linear subsystems instead of  $A\xi = b$  with a same direct method is  $O(1/t^3 \sum_{\ell=1}^q d_\ell^3)$ . Decomposition algorithms and computational details can be found in [2].

The matrix elements of the dense linear symmetric system (8) are double integrals with weakly singular, singular or hyper-singular kernels. The singularity of integral operators arise in the evaluation only of a number of elements of order  $n$  along the diagonal band of  $A$ . Their generation can be made with suitable quadrature rules ([1]); the evaluation of all the remaining matrix elements does not present any difficulty, but can have a heavy computational cost when  $n$  is high. In this context, many different techniques have been proposed during these last years to reduce the computational cost of the Galerkin matrix evaluation and the linear system solution. Among them, we recall the Panel Clustering Method (PCM), that was introduced firstly by Hackbush and Nowak ([7]) and further developed by various authors ([6], [9]). Here we show how this technique can be used in connection with restriction matrices under hypothesis of problem invariance. The PCM allows a fast evaluation of the most off-diagonal elements of the boundary element matrix and can be basically summarized in the following three main steps:

- (i) a hierarchical structuring of the boundary mesh  $\mathcal{T}$ , called *cluster tree*;
- (ii) a visiting of the cluster tree with a suitable  $\eta$ -*admissibility* condition;

(iii) an approximation of the most off-diagonal elements of the Galerkin matrix, related to (ii) and based on a convenient truncated expansion of the kernel functions.

For the first step (i) we recall that: a cluster tree  $\mathcal{C}$  is a hierarchy of clusters, starting from the *root*  $\mathcal{T} = \tau_R$ , ordered with respect to the relation of the inclusion, where a cluster  $\tau$  is a non empty union of elements  $e_i \in \mathcal{T}$ , equipped with a center  $x_\tau$  and a radius  $\rho_\tau$  such that the ball  $B_{\rho_\tau}(x_\tau) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|x - x_\tau\|_2 \leq \rho_\tau\}$  is the smallest ball containing  $\tau$ . Note that at each level of the hierarchy the cluster union coincides with  $\mathcal{T}$ . Different algorithms for the construction of cluster trees have been proposed (leading e.g. to a balanced binary tree ([6], [7], [9])). Unfortunately these cluster trees do not share the symmetry properties of boundary  $\Gamma$  and mesh  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant mesh, this property can be exploited in order both to reduce computer time in generating cluster trees and to lower memory requirement for data storage of the tree structure. Then, let  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  be a  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant mesh: this means that there is a subset of mesh elements, in the sequel indicated with  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ , which, through the action of the congruences of  $\mathcal{G}$ , generates the whole  $\mathcal{T}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \gamma_i(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ , interpreting the notation  $\gamma_i(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$  as the application of the  $i$ -th congruence of group  $\mathcal{G}$  to every mesh element belonging to  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ . Further, let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  be a cluster tree related to  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ : a cluster tree for the entire mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  can be recovered as  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \gamma_i(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ . If  $\tau$  is a cluster of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we will find in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  all the images  $\gamma_i(\tau)$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, t$ ; these clusters satisfy the properties:  $x_{\gamma_i(\tau)} = \gamma_i x_\tau$ ,  $\rho_{\gamma_i(\tau)} = \rho_\tau$ .

For the second step (ii) of PCM we introduce the following  $\eta$ -admissibility condition: given  $0 < \eta_0 < 1$  and fixed  $0 < \eta < \eta_0$ , a pair of clusters  $(\tau, \tau') \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ , with radius  $\rho_\tau, \rho_{\tau'}$  respectively, is said  $\eta$ -admissible if:

$$\max\{\rho_\tau, \rho_{\tau'}\} \leq \eta \text{ dist}(\tau, \tau') \quad (22)$$

where  $\text{dist}(\tau, \tau')$  denotes the distance of the ball  $B_{\rho_\tau}$  from the ball  $B_{\rho_{\tau'}}$ . The visiting of  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  with the above  $\eta$ -admissibility condition produces pairs of  $\eta$ -admissible clusters, hence pairs of sets of mesh element indices that generate a subdivision of the off-diagonal band of the Galerkin matrix  $A$  into  $\eta$ -admissible blocks (a sort of grid which covers  $A$ ), since the matrix element indices are obviously related to mesh element indices.

The choice of the  $\eta_0$  parameter is related to the kernel function to be approximated, in order to ensure the convergence of PCM.

In the third step (iii) of PCM, the elements of the  $\eta$ -admissible blocks of matrix  $A$  related to the  $\eta$ -admissible pairs  $(\tau, \tau') \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  with centers  $x_\tau$