



Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects

Risk Assessment of Pesticides

Editors

Theo C.M. Brock

Anne Alix

Colin D. Brown

Ettore Capri

Bernhard F.F. Gottesbüren

Fred Heimbach

Chris M. Lythgo

Ralf Schulz

Martin Streløke



Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects

Risk Assessment of Pesticides

Other Titles from the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC)

Veterinary Medicines in the Environment

Crane, Boxall, Barrett

2008

*Relevance of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ephemeral and Effluent-
dependent Watercourses of the Arid Western United States*

Gensemer, Meyerhof, Ramage, Curley

2008

Extrapolation Practice for Ecotoxicological Effect Characterization of Chemicals

Solomon, Brock, de Zwart, Dye, Posthum, Richards, editors

2008

Environmental Life Cycle Costing

Hunkeler, Lichtenvort, Rebitzer, editors

2008

*Valuation of Ecological Resources: Integration of Ecology and Socioeconomics
in Environmental Decision Making*

Stahl, Kapustka, Munns, Bruins, editors

2007

Genomics in Regulatory Ecotoxicology: Applications and Challenges

Ankley, Miracle, Perkins, Daston, editors

2007

Population-Level Ecological Risk Assessment

Barnhouse, Munns, Sorensen, editors

2007

*Effects of Water Chemistry on Bioavailability and Toxicity of Waterborne Cadmium,
Copper, Nickel, Lead, and Zinc on Freshwater Organisms*

Meyer, Clearwater, Doser, Rogaczewski, Hansen

2007

Ecosystem Responses to Mercury Contamination: Indicators of Change

Harris, Krabbenhoft, Mason, Murray, Reash, Saltman, editors

2007

For information about SETAC publications, including SETAC's international journals, Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry and Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, contact the SETAC Administrative Office nearest you:

SETAC Office
1010 North 12th Avenue
Pensacola, FL 32501-3367 USA
T 850 469 1500 F 850 469 9778
E setac@setac.org

SETAC Office
Avenue de la Toison d'Or 67
B-1060 Brussels, Belgium
T 32 2 772 72 81 F 32 2 770 53 86
E setac@setaceu.org

www.setac.org

Environmental Quality Through Science®

Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects

Risk Assessment of Pesticides

Editors:

Theo C.M. Brock

Anne Alix

Colin D. Brown

Ettore Capri

Bernhard F.F. Gottesbüren

Fred Heimbach

Chris M. Lythgo

Ralf Schulz

Martin Streloke

EU and SETAC Europe
Workshop ELINK
Bari, Italy, and Wageningen,
Netherlands

Coordinating Editor of SETAC Books
Joseph W. Gorsuch
Gorsuch Environmental Management Services, Inc.
Webster, New York, USA



CRC Press

Taylor & Francis Group

Boca Raton London New York

CRC Press is an imprint of the
Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business

Information contained herein does not necessarily reflect the policy or views of the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC). Mention of commercial or noncommercial products and services does not imply endorsement or affiliation by the author or SETAC.

Published in collaboration with the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC)
1010 North 12th Avenue, Pensacola, Florida 32501
Telephone: (850) 469-1500 ; Fax: (850) 469-9778; Email: setac@setac.org
Web site: www.setac.org

© 2010 by The Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC)
SETAC Press is an imprint of the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry.

No claim to original U.S. Government works

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

International Standard Book Number: 978-1-4398-1347-8 (Hardback)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access www.copyright.com (<http://www.copyright.com/>) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

Trademark Notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

European Union Workshop on Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects in the Registration Procedure of Plant Protection Products (2007 : Bari, Italy, and Wageningen, Netherlands) Linking aquatic exposure and effects : risk assessment of pesticides / editors, Theo C. M. Brock ... [et al.]

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4398-1347-8 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Pesticides--Risk assessment--Congresses. 2.

Water--Pollution--Toxicology--Congresses. 3. Aquatic ecology--Congresses. I. Brock, Theo C. M. II. Title. III. Series.

QH545.P4E97 2007

628.1'6842--dc22

2009035209

Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at
<http://www.taylorandfrancis.com>

and the CRC Press Web site at
<http://www.crcpress.com>

and the SETAC Web site at
www.setac.org

SETAC Publications

Books published by the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) provide in-depth reviews and critical appraisals on scientific subjects relevant to understanding the impacts of chemicals and technology on the environment. The books explore topics reviewed and recommended by the Publications Advisory Council and approved by the SETAC North America, Latin America, or Asia/Pacific Board of Directors; the SETAC Europe Council; or the SETAC World Council for their importance, timeliness, and contribution to multidisciplinary approaches to solving environmental problems. The diversity and breadth of subjects covered in the series reflect the wide range of disciplines encompassed by environmental toxicology, environmental chemistry, and hazard and risk assessment, and life-cycle assessment. SETAC books attempt to present the reader with authoritative coverage of the literature, as well as paradigms, methodologies, and controversies; research needs; and new developments specific to the featured topics. The books are generally peer reviewed for SETAC by acknowledged experts.

SETAC publications, which include Technical Issue Papers (TIPs), workshops summaries, newsletter (SETAC Globe), and journals (*Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* and *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*), are useful to environmental scientists in research, research management, chemical manufacturing and regulation, risk assessment, and education, as well as to students considering or preparing for careers in these areas. The publications provide information for keeping abreast of recent developments in familiar subject areas and for rapid introduction to principles and approaches in new subject areas.

SETAC recognizes and thanks the past coordinating editors of SETAC books:

A.S. Green, International Zinc Association
Durham, North Carolina, USA

C.G. Ingersoll, Columbia Environmental Research Center
US Geological Survey, Columbia, Missouri, USA

T.W. La Point, Institute of Applied Sciences
University of North Texas, Denton, Texas, USA

B.T. Walton, US Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA

C.H. Ward, Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

Contents

List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xv
Acknowledgments	xix
About the Editors	xxi

PART I Guidance on Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects in the Registration Procedure of Plant Protection Products

Chapter 1	Executive Summary and Recommendations: European Union Workshop on Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects in the Registration Procedure of Plant Protection Products (ELINK).....	3
Chapter 2	Introduction to the Guidance on Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects in the Risk Assessment for Plant Protection Products.....	15
Chapter 3	Tier 1 Aquatic Risk Assessment for Plant Protection Products in Europe	25
Chapter 4	Generalized Exposure Regimes and Tiered Exposure Assessment for Plant Protection Products.....	37
Chapter 5	Species Sensitivity Distribution Approach in the Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products	69
Chapter 6	Use of Refined Exposure Single-Species and Population Studies in the Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products	81
Chapter 7	Toxicokinetic and Toxicodynamic Modeling in the Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products	85
Chapter 8	Model Ecosystem Approach in the Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products	101

Chapter 9	Ecological Models in the Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products	119
Chapter 10	Ecological Field Data in the Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products	133
Chapter 11	References for Guidance Chapters (Part I)	145

PART II Reports from ELINK Work Groups

Chapter 12	A Novice's Guide to FOCUS Surface Water.....	155
Chapter 13	Interaction between Fate and Effect Experts	207
	<i>Peter van Vliet, Paulien Adriaanse, Karin Howard, Chris Lythgo, Aiden Moody, Jo O'Leary-Quinn, and Paul Sweeney</i>	
Chapter 14	Extrapolation Methods in Aquatic Effect Assessment of Time-Variable Exposures to Pesticides	211
	<i>Udo Hommen, Roman Ashauer, Paul van den Brink, Thierry Caquet, Virginie Ducrot, Laurent Lagadic, and Toni Ratte</i>	
Chapter 15	Ecological Characterization of Water Bodies	243
Chapter 16	References for Work Group Reports (Part II).....	321

PART III Appendices and Glossary

Appendix 1: List of Workshop Participants	333
Appendix 2: List of Workshop Sponsors	337
Appendix 3: Case Studies Used during Two Workshop Meetings	339
Appendix 4: Foldout Page of the ELINK Decision Scheme: Explanatory Notes for Figure 2.1	387
Glossary	391
Index	399

List of Figures

FIGURE 2.1 ELINK decision scheme for acute and chronic aquatic risk assessment for plant protection products 19

FIGURE 4.1 Conceptual relationship between FOCUS surface water Steps 1, 2, and 3.40

FIGURE 4.2 Concentrations of isoproturon measured in a research program in the United Kingdom.....42

FIGURE 4.3 Comparison between measured monitoring data for isoproturon in the upper Nil catchment in Belgium and simulations with the FOCUS R1 scenario44

FIGURE 4.4 Influence of temporal resolution of reporting on concentration profiles generated by monitoring and simulation.....47

FIGURE 4.5 Diagram of the conceptual “crisscross” model of possible routes through combined effects and exposure flowcharts49

FIGURE 4.6 Ten possible generalized exposure profiles to aid problem formulation..... 51

FIGURE 4.7 Key characteristics of the exposure profile that may inform the risk assessment..... 52

FIGURE 4.8 Maximum concentrations of ELINKstrobilin in the FOCUSsw bodies..... 58

FIGURE 4.9 Exposure profile for ELINKstrobilin in the runoff scenario R4 stream. 58

FIGURE 4.10 Duration (a) and number (b) of exposure peaks for ELINKstrobilin in the different FOCUSsw scenarios..... 59

FIGURE 4.11 Exposure profile for ELINKstrobilin in the drainage scenario D1 ditch. 60

FIGURE 4.12 Exposure profile for ELINKstrobilin in the drainage scenario D2 ditch. 60

FIGURE 4.13 Exposure profile for ELINKstrobilin in the runoff scenario R1 stream. 60

FIGURE 4.14 Area under the curve of exposure peaks for ELINKstrobilin averaged to 12 months to make the runoff and drainage scenarios comparable 61

FIGURE 4.15 Interval between exposure peaks for ELINKstrobilin in the FOCUS SWS..... 61

FIGURE 4.16 Area under the curve (90th percentile) for a time period of 90 days obtained by a moving time frame from the exposure profile for ELINKstrobilin in the FOCUSsw scenarios..... 63

FIGURE 4.17	Time-weighted average concentration for ELINKstrobilin obtained for a time period of 90 days	64
FIGURE 4.18	Proposed dose regime for ELINKstrobilin in the effects study for case 1 (all scenarios)	65
FIGURE 4.19	Proposed dose regime for ELINKstrobilin in the effects study for case 2 (all scenarios except D1, D2)	66
FIGURE 5.1	Graphical presentation of the species sensitivity distribution curve, its 90% confidence interval, and the derivation of the lower limit and median hazardous concentration to 5% of the species.....	70
FIGURE 7.1	Experiments required to parameterize a toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic model, for example, the TDM.....	90
FIGURE CS7.1A	Indicative profile for PEC _{sw} derived using the FOCUS surface water scenarios.	95
FIGURE CS7.1B	The measurement of uptake and elimination of insecticide A in <i>Gammarus pulex</i>	96
FIGURE CS7.1C	The measurement of toxicodynamic parameters for insecticide A in <i>Gammarus pulex</i>	97
FIGURE CS7.1D	Experiments to evaluate the predictive ability of the TDM using previously calibrated parameters.....	98
FIGURE CS7.1E	Use of the TDM to simulate results of the mesocosm study for doses equivalent to the PEC _{max} and 4 times the PEC _{max} ; TDM predictions for survival of <i>Gammarus</i> in response to 2 successive exposures at the PEC.....	99
FIGURE CS8.3A	Comparison of exposure profiles and regulatory acceptable concentrations that do (RAC _{Effect class 3A}) and do not (RAC _{Effect class 1}) exhibit short-term effects followed by recovery.	116
FIGURE 9.1	Extrapolation relationships, the role of ecological models, and the consequences for ecological significance and mechanistic understanding.....	125
FIGURE 12.1	FOCUS SW Step 3 approach.	159
FIGURE 12.2	Tiered approach in FOCUS surface water exposure assessment.....	161
FIGURE 12.3	Location of the weather stations used for FOCUS surface water scenarios.....	163
FIGURE 12.4	Concentration–time course calculated with TOXSWA.....	169
FIGURE 12.5	Overall assessment of the relevance of the 10 surface water scenarios to European Union agriculture.....	179
FIGURE 14.1	Example of the use of the ERC approach	234
FIGURE 14.2	General methodology to parameterize TK/TD models, for example, the TDM.....	237
FIGURE 14.3	Rotifer abundance per treatment in controls and treatment levels T1 to T7 in a mesocosm study and linear regressions for the time period with approximately exponential growth	237

FIGURE 14.4	Simulated abundance of rotifers for control conditions and 4 exposure scenarios and corresponding experimental data from a mesocosm study.....	237
FIGURE 14.5	Population growth of <i>Desmodesmus subspicatus</i> as observed in laboratory tests and predicted by the model of Weber et al. (2007).	238
FIGURE 14.6	Conceptual model of MASTEP describing the activities of individual <i>A. aquaticus</i> and simulated population dynamics after insecticide application in a FOCUS ditch scenario	239
FIGURE 15.1	Relative frequency in the abundance of fish species in samples ($n = 39$) from 15 small lowland streams in an agricultural landscape of northern Germany.....	254
FIGURE 15.2	Relative abundance of fish species in 15 small lowland streams of an agricultural landscape in northern Germany.....	255
FIGURE 15.3	Relative distribution over main taxonomic groups of aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa and abundance in streams in agricultural landscapes in northern and central Germany	258
FIGURE 15.4	Relative distribution of voltinism categories over taxa in aquatic macroinvertebrates in streams in agricultural landscapes in northern and central Germany	262
FIGURE 15.5	Air photograph of the Vollbüttler Mühlenriede.....	265
FIGURE 15.6	The Vollbüttler Mühlenriede in winter 2000.....	266
FIGURE 15.7	Relative distribution over main taxonomic groups of aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa and abundance in the Vollbüttler Mühlenriede, northern Germany.....	267
FIGURE 15.8	Relative distribution of voltinism categories over taxa and abundance in aquatic macroinvertebrates in the Vollbüttler Mühlenriede, northern Germany.....	268
FIGURE 15.9	Relative distribution over main taxonomic groups of aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa and individuals in 19 samples from ditches with clay sediment and adjacent to agricultural fields	280
FIGURE 15.10	Relative distribution over main taxonomic groups of aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa and individuals in 18 samples from ditches with clay sediment and adjacent to forested or nature conservation areas	281
FIGURE 15.11	Hazardous concentration to 50% (mean HC50 and 95% confidence interval) of freshwater insects belonging to semi- or univoltine taxa, bivoltine taxa, and multivoltine taxa	284
FIGURE 15.12	SSD curves comparing the sensitivity of different taxonomic groups to different classes of herbicides	285

FIGURE 15.13	SSD curves comparing the sensitivity of different taxonomic groups to different classes of insecticide	286
FIGURE 15.14	SSD curves comparing the sensitivity of different taxonomic groups to the fungicides chlorothalonil and triphenyltinacetate.....	287
FIGURE 15.15	Principal factors defining permanent and temporal water bodies in the Mediterranean region.	289
FIGURE 15.16	Common agriculture landscape in the east of Iberian Peninsula and low level of Mijares watercourse.....	292
FIGURE 15.17	Nature of clay landscapes.	307
FIGURE 15.18	Land use, field size, and amount of water within an average 4-km ² unit of the landscape.	307
FIGURE 15.19	Invertebrate species composition of clay landscape streams, ditches, and ponds compared to streams and ditches in all landscape types.	314
FIGURE 15.20	Invertebrate abundance in ditches and ponds in clay landscapes.	315
FIGURE 15.21	Proportions of emergent, submerged, and floating-leaved plants in 5 water body types in clay landscapes.	315
FIGURE A3.1	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D1 Ditch.	347
FIGURE A3.2	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D1 Stream.	347
FIGURE A3.3	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D2 Ditch.	348
FIGURE A3.4	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D2 Stream.	348
FIGURE A3.5	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D3 Ditch.....	349
FIGURE A3.6	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D4 Pond.	349
FIGURE A3.7	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D4 Stream.	350
FIGURE A3.8	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D5 Pond.....	350
FIGURE A3.9	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D5 Stream.	351
FIGURE A3.10	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: D6 Ditch.....	351
FIGURE A3.11	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: R1 Pond.....	352
FIGURE A3.12	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: R1 Stream.	352
FIGURE A3.13	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: R3 Stream.	353
FIGURE A3.14	ELINKstrobín-winter cereals: R4 Stream.	353
FIGURE A3.15	Species sensitivity distribution of aquatic arthropods with ELINKmethrin.	358
FIGURE A3.16	Dissipation of ELINKmethrin from the water phase.	358
FIGURE A3.17	Principle response curves for the macrophyte-dominated and phytoplankton-dominated systems	359
FIGURE A3.18	Dynamics of macroinvertebrate species in the macrophyte-dominated and phytoplankton-dominated microcosms including responses of the phantom midge (<i>Chaoborus obscuripes</i>), the amphipod (<i>Gammarus pulex</i>) and the ephemeropteran (<i>Caenis horaria</i>). Calculated no-observed-effect concentrations are plotted above the figures	360

FIGURE A3.19	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D1 Ditch.	364
FIGURE A3.20	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D1 Stream.	364
FIGURE A3.21	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D3 Ditch.	365
FIGURE A3.22	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D4 Pond.	365
FIGURE A3.23	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D4 Stream.	366
FIGURE A3.24	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D5 Pond.	366
FIGURE A3.25	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: D5 Stream.	367
FIGURE A3.26	ELINKmethrin–spring cereals: R4 Stream.	367
FIGURE A3.27	Example of estimated dissipation for spring cereals: D4 pond.	368
FIGURE A3.28	Growth of <i>Lemna gibba</i> (frond numbers) during a 7-day recovery period, after exposure to ELINKsulfuron over 4 days or 7 days.	373
FIGURE A3.29	Species sensitivity distribution for ELINKsulfuron and ten higher aquatic plants (including <i>Lemna</i>), based on 7 day E _b C50 values.	375
FIGURE A3.30	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D1 Ditch.	378
FIGURE A3.31	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D1 Stream.	378
FIGURE A3.32	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D3 Ditch.	379
FIGURE A3.33	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D4 Pond.	379
FIGURE A3.34	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D4 Stream.	379
FIGURE A3.35	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D5 Pond.	380
FIGURE A3.36	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: D5 Stream.	380
FIGURE A3.37	ELINKsulfuron–spring cereals: R4 Stream.	380
FIGURE A3.38	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D1 Ditch.	381
FIGURE A3.39	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D1 Stream.	381
FIGURE A3.40	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D2 Ditch.	381
FIGURE A3.41	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D2 Stream.	382
FIGURE A3.42	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D3 Ditch.	382
FIGURE A3.43	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D4 Pond.	382
FIGURE A3.44	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D4 Stream.	383
FIGURE A3.45	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D5 Pond.	383
FIGURE A3.46	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D5 Stream.	383
FIGURE A3.47	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: D6 Ditch.	384
FIGURE A3.48	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: R1 Pond.	384
FIGURE A3.49	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: R1 Stream.	384
FIGURE A3.50	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: R3 Stream.	385
FIGURE A3.51	ELINKsulfuron–winter cereals: R4 Stream.	385
FIGURE 2.1	Foldout of Figure 2.1: LINK decision scheme for acute and chronic aquatic risk assessment for plant protection products.	390

List of Tables

TABLE 3.1	Summary of Current Acute (Short-Term) EU Ecotoxicology Testing Requirements and Current EU Endpoints and Triggers Pertinent for Tier 1 Assessment.....	27
TABLE 3.2	Summary of Current Chronic (Long-Term) EU Ecotoxicology Testing Requirements and Current EU Endpoints and Triggers Pertinent for Tier 1 Assessment.....	32
TABLE 4.1	Proposed ELINK Parameters for Characterizing Exposure for Each Exposure Scenario	45
TABLE 4.2	Characterization of Scenarios: Statistical Parameter for Each Individual Scenario.....	56
TABLE 4.3	Proposal for Pulsed-Dose Regime: Overall Statistical Parameters across All Scenarios.....	57
TABLE 4.4	Summary of the Statistical Measures to Quantify the Exposure Characteristic Parameters for ELINKstrobin.....	62
TABLE 4.5	Area under the Curve for a Time Period of 90 Days Obtained by a Moving Time Frame from the Exposure Profile of the FOCUSsw Scenarios and Time-Weighted Average Concentration of ELINKstrobin	64
TABLE CS6.1A	Overview of First-Tier Toxicity Data for ELINKpyrimate.....	83
TABLE CS6.1B	Long-Term Effects of a Single Application of ELINKpyrimate on Mortality of Juvenile Rainbow Trout.....	84
TABLE 7.1	Overview of Toxicokinetic and Toxicodynamic Models.....	87
TABLE CS7.1A	Toxicokinetic/Toxicodynamic Parameters Determined with the TDM.....	96
TABLE CS8.1A	Overall Results of the Outdoor Microcosm Experiment.....	110
TABLE CS8.2B	Percentage Affected Individuals of <i>Chaoborus</i> and <i>Gammarus</i> in Laboratory Flow-Through Systems That Simulated Different Dissipation DT50s of the Pyrethroid Insecticide and That Started with 10 ng ai/L	112
TABLE 10.1	Overview of the 10 Scenarios Defined	135
TABLE 10.2	Possible Influence of Water Body Abiotic Factors on Exposure Estimates and Proposals to Address This in the Risk Assessment	138
TABLE 12.1	Water Body Geometry and Residence Time	160
TABLE 12.2	Characteristics of Water and Sediment.....	160
TABLE 12.3	“Water Body–Entry Route” Combination	161
TABLE 12.4	Input into Surface Water via Runoff or Drainage at Step 2.....	162
TABLE 12.5	Drainage/Ditch Scenarios.....	165
TABLE 12.6	Drainage/Stream Scenarios	166

TABLE 12.7	Drainage/Pond Scenarios.....	166
TABLE 12.8	Runoff/Pond Scenario.....	166
TABLE 12.9	Selected Weather Years for Runoff.....	167
TABLE 12.10	Selected Weather Years for Drainage	168
TABLE 12.11	Extent of the 10 Surface Water Scenarios within the European Union	177
TABLE 12.12	Assessment of the Amount of European Agricultural Land “Protected” by Each Drainage Scenario	180
TABLE 12.13	Assessment of the Amount of European Agricultural Land Protected by Each Runoff Scenario	181
TABLE 12.14	Comparison of Experimental and Simulated Values for Scenario R4.....	193
TABLE 13.1	Template for Information Exchange	208
TABLE 14.1	Overview of TK/TD Models	221
TABLE 14.2	Evaluation of Tools to Extrapolate Effects between Exposure Patterns	229
TABLE 15.1	Information Collected on Abiotic and Biotic Factors for a Range of Typical European Water Bodies.....	248
TABLE 15.2	Characteristics of 15 Small Lowland Streams in an Agricultural Landscape in Northern Germany and a Comparison with the FOCUS _{sw} Model Scenario.....	252
TABLE 15.3	Characteristics of Small Lowland and Low Mountain Range Streams in Agricultural Landscapes in Northern and Central Germany.....	253
TABLE 15.4	Sampling Sites Selected for the Characterization of the Realistic Best Case in Small Lowland and Low Mountain Range Streams in Agricultural Landscapes	257
TABLE 15.5	Distribution of Voltinism Categories Over Taxa and Abundance in Different Orders of Aquatic Macroinvertebrates in 14 Sites in Northern and Central Germany	259
TABLE 15.6	Distribution of Voltinism Categories over Taxa and Abundance in Different Orders of Aquatic Macroinvertebrates in the Vollbüttler Mühlenriede, Northern Germany.....	263
TABLE 15.7	Estimated Surface Area of Different Types of Water Courses for Different Areas in the Netherlands	270
TABLE 15.8	Some Important Abiotic and Biotic Properties of the MP Type of Ditches in the Netherlands.....	272
TABLE 15.9	Some Characteristics of Different Sediment Layers in Young and Old Experimental Ditches of the Sinderhoeve Complex	272
TABLE 15.10	Frequently Observed Macrophytes in Vegetation-Rich, Eutrophic Ditches in the Netherlands	273

TABLE 15.11	Peak Biomass of Macrophytes Observed in Macrophyte-Dominated Dutch Ditches.....	274
TABLE 15.12	Frequently Observed Aquatic Arthropods in the Macroinvertebrate Community of Vegetation-Rich, Eutrophic Ditches in the Netherlands	276
TABLE 15.13	Frequently Observed Aquatic Nonarthropod Macroinvertebrates of Vegetation-Rich, Eutrophic Ditches in the Netherlands	279
TABLE 15.14	Relative Distribution of Macroinvertebrates Sampled in Clay Ditches of Agricultural and Nature Conservation Areas Over Different Voltinism Categories.....	282
TABLE 15.15	Relative Distribution of Voltinism Categories over Individuals of Insecta, Marocrustacea, Mollusca, and Other Macroinvertebrates Sampled in Clay Ditches of Agricultural and Nature Conservation Areas over Different Voltinism Categories	283
TABLE 15.16	Freshwater Macroinvertebrates Trait Categories from Mediterranean and Temperate Streams	291
TABLE 15.17	Summary of Abiotic Properties of Several Stations of the Júcar Basin	293
TABLE 15.18	Frequently Observed Aquatic Arthropods and Nonarthropods in the Macroinvertebrate Community from Mediterranean Basin Streams from East of Spain.....	294
TABLE 15.19	Relative Distribution of Macroinvertebrates Sampled in Mediterranean Streams of the Júcar Basin.....	296
TABLE 15.20	Proposed Groups of Representative Species of Macroinvertebrates in Different Orders of Aquatic Macroinvertebrates from the Jucar Basin, East of Spain for Risk Analysis.....	297
TABLE 15.21	Distribution of Fish Species in the Different Rivers of the Júcar Basin	298
TABLE 15.22	Principal Characteristics of Ramblas from the Southeast Iberian Peninsula	299
TABLE 15.23	Characteristics of Some Marl Ramblas Found in an Agriculture Landscape.....	300
TABLE 15.24	Adaptations of Communities Inhabiting Ramblas.....	301
TABLE 15.25	Summary of the Landscape Classes Derived for England, Scotland, and Wales	305
TABLE 15.26	Land Use in England and Wales and in Intensive Arable Lands.....	308
TABLE 15.27	Water Flow Classes in Clay Landscape.....	309
TABLE 15.28	Pond Hydrology and Relation to Landscape in Clay Landscapes.....	310
TABLE 15.29	Water Chemistry Properties in Ponds in Clay Landscapes.....	313

TABLE 15.30	Species Richness from Samplings in the Water Bodies in LC6 Compared to Richness in All Classes	314
TABLE 15.31	Plant Species Recorded in Ponds of Clay Landscape, Based on Data for 160 Sites	316
TABLE A3.1	Use Pattern of ELINKstrobin	340
TABLE A3.2	Main Physicochemical Properties for Substance: ELINKstrobin	340
TABLE A3.3	Summary of Global Maximum and Time-Weighted Average PEC _{sw} Values for a 7-Day Period for the Different FOCUS _{sw} Scenarios	342
TABLE A3.4	Aquatic Ecotoxicology Endpoints of ELINKstrobin	343
TABLE A3.5	Sensitivities of Different Fish Species in Acute Toxicity Tests	343
TABLE A3.6	28-Day Juvenile Growth Test with Rainbow Trout under Flow-Through Conditions	344
TABLE A3.7	ELS Study with Trout	345
TABLE A3.8	ELS Study with Fathead Minnow	345
TABLE A3.9	Outdoor ELS Study with Golden Orfe	346
TABLE A3.10	Summary of Chronic Fish Studies with ELINKstrobin	346
TABLE A3.11	Aquatic Toxicity Data of ELINKmethrin	355
TABLE A3.12	Maximum and 7-Day TWA PEC Surface Water Values for FOCUS Step 3	356
TABLE A3.13	Toxicity of ELINKmethrin to <i>Daphnia magna</i> after Various Exposure Periods in the 21-Day Chronic Study	356
TABLE A3.14	Toxicity of ELINKmethrin to <i>Gammarus pulex</i> at 96 Hours after Exposure of Different Durations	357
TABLE A3.15	Species Sensitivity Data for ELINKmethrin	357
TABLE A3.16	Summary of Effects Observed in the Macrophyte-Dominated and Phytoplankton-Dominated Enclosures	361
TABLE A3.17	Effect Classes Adapted after Brock et al. (2000, 2005) and SANCO (2002) to Evaluate Aquatic Micro/Mesocosm Experiments	362
TABLE A3.18	ELINKsulfuron Acute Tests with Fish	371
TABLE A3.19	ELINKsulfuron Acute Tests with Aquatic Invertebrates	372
TABLE A3.20	ELINKsulfuron Growth Inhibition Tests with Algae	372
TABLE A3.21	ELINKsulfuron Standard 7-Day Growth Inhibition Tests with <i>Lemna gibba</i>	372
TABLE A3.22	Recovery of <i>Lemna gibba</i> after Transfer from a Standard Growth Inhibition Test into Freshwater	373
TABLE A3.23	ELINKsulfuron Growth Inhibition and Recovery Tests with 9 Higher Aquatic Plant Species	374
TABLE A3.24	FOCUS Step 3 Results: Uses in Spring Cereals	376
TABLE A3.25	FOCUS Step 3 Results: Uses in Winter Cereals	377

Acknowledgments

This book presents the proceedings of a workshop convened by the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Europe (SETAC Europe) in Bari, Italy (14 to 16 March 2007), and Wageningen, the Netherlands (19 to 21 September 2007). The 53 scientists involved in this workshop represented 12 European countries and the United States. They offered expertise in aquatic exposure assessment, aquatic ecotoxicology, risk assessment, and risk management of plant protection products.

The workshop was made possible by the time and expertise offered by all workshop participants (Appendix 1) and by the generous financial support of several sponsors (Appendix 2). Based on the discussions during the two workshop meetings, we (the organizing committee) drafted guidance chapters on linking exposure and effects in the aquatic risk assessment procedure for plant protection products (Part I of this book, Chapters 1 to 11). All workshop participants were invited to comment on earlier versions of these guidance chapters, and we would like to thank those participants who provided us with useful and constructive comments.

Besides the input provided by workshop participants, the writing of Chapter 14 was supported by Thierry Caquet and Virginie Ducrot of the French National Institute on Research in Agronomy (INRA), France. Gertie Arts, Dick Belgers, and Caroline van Rhenen of Alterra (Wageningen UR, the Netherlands), and Jeremy Biggs of Oxford Brookes University (United Kingdom) contributed to Chapter 15.

We thank the Instituto Agronomico Mediterraneo di Bari (IAMB) and Wageningen UR for the hospitality offered during the workshop meetings and the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore (UCSC) for hosting the ELINK (European Union Workshop on Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects in the Registration Procedure of Plant Protection Products) Web site (<http://www.elink-info.org/>) and for the financial support to publish this book.

We also thank Lorraine Maltby for her inspiring enthusiasm when acting as workshop rapporteur during the Bari meeting; Dave Arnold for editing previous versions of this book; Keith Solomon, who independently reviewed the ELINK proceedings on behalf of SETAC; Joe Gorsuch, who is the coordinating editor of SETAC books, and Mimi Meredith of SETAC for their editorial support.

**Theo Brock
Anne Alix
Colin Brown
Ettore Capri
Bernhard Gottesbüren
Fred Heimbach
Chris Lythgo
Ralf Schulz
Martin Streloke**